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GLOSSARY OF TERMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>Signal to noise ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_1$</td>
<td>Magnitude of the RF field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_0$</td>
<td>Magnetization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_0$</td>
<td>Permeability of free space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>Quality factor of the coil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K$</td>
<td>Numerical factor depending on the coil geometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>Filling factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_0$</td>
<td>Larmor frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_c$</td>
<td>Volume of the coil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>Noise figure of the preamplifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>Boltzman Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_c$</td>
<td>Probe temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta f$</td>
<td>Bandwidth (in Hertz) of the receiver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) has been found to be one of the most useful diagnostic imaging techniques. Thousands of MRI systems have been produced and installed every year in clinics since its discovery about 20 years ago. MRI allows the visualization of internal structures of a body. Clinically, it is used for early disease diagnosis, while research areas cover human and animal anatomy, physiology and pathology. The image contrast comes mostly from water molecules or/and their movement. Blood flow, blood oxygenation or specific properties of tissues, called relaxation times can also be visualized to enhance the MRI capability to image pathology. In addition, variety of MRI techniques can be used to obtain desired contrast and allow focusing on specific types of tissue, making this technique superior to other imaging techniques such as Computer Tomography (CT or ultrasound. MRI is used for a diagnosis of many diseases, such as cancer, stroke, brain disorder (Alzheimer) liver failure, osteoporosis, etc. MRI is also commonly used for the assessment of the surgery of the surgery or for image guided procedures such as biopsy or laparoscopy. The combination of MR imaging with spectroscopy provides a powerful tool for clinical management of problems such as stroke, tumor monitoring and the assessment of other diseases. To produce an MR image, strong magnetic field (order of 1T) generated by, most frequently, a Superconductive magnets is needed to create net magnetization of the water protons. To spatially encode spins variable magnetic fields, generated by so-called gradients coils are applied. To excite spins and receive MR signal probes producing an RF RFfield are used. Because MRI used only magnets and RF fields, unlike in CT, MRI does not use harmful radiation.
In the recognition of the importance of the application of MRI in the medicine, Dr. Paul Lauterbur and Sir Peter Mansfield were awarded the 2003 Nobel Prize for their discoveries concerning MRI.

As the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and thus image resolution increases with the magnetic field strength there is a trend forward using stronger magnets. The standard clinical system is equipped with a 1.5T magnet but 3T systems also obtained an FDA approval for clinical use. While stronger magnets (above 3T) are technically feasible, their possible harmful side-effects and high costs (usually 1M$ per Tesla for each unit) are the limiting factors. There are however other methods of improving SNR such as new imaging techniques or longer acquisition time. The most efficient, inexpensive and applicable to any imaging technique method of increasing image resolution is however the improvement in the RF coil design. The RF coils generate RF field and receive NMR signal. This work presents innovative designs proposed by the author and published in years 2000-2006. The major focus of the thesis is the new method of RF coil design based on mutually inductive coupling. Examples of the possible applications of the rf coils are also presented. Some of the applications, such as intraoperative MRI (Chapter 8), are possible only with this unique design. This coil was a crucial component of the first in the world Intraoperative MRI system with a moveable magnet. This project allowed the creation of the company IMRIS, Inc., that currently sells these units worldwide. The company employs, as of July 2006, over 60 people and it is worth over $60 million. Another example is a breast rf coil, that allows high resolution breast images (100µm × 100µm) to be obtained within seconds. The coil design does not require breast compression allowing painless and comfortable yet very efficient breast screening and diagnosis as it can detect submillimeter tumors. This coil provides superior
performance in comparison to any commercially available RF coil and it was used in Sidney, Australia with Siemens 3T and in Winnipeg with 1.5T GE systems for breast cancer study.

A particular attention is paid to new types of RF coils (patented by the author) bases on multi-ring assembly. The design, analysis of their parameters and a comparison to other standard coils is provided. The rings are not only inductively matched and tuned but in addition each component of the coil (each ring) is inductively coupled with each other thus allowing to avoiding any electrical connections between coil’s elements. The coils provide superior performance and enable new applications impossible due to the restrictions of standard RF coils. Theoretical calculations of the RF field produced by the coils as well as applications are presented. The method of calculations resonance frequencies of each single element and the self-resonance of the entire assembly is presented.

The work was carried out at the Institute for Biodiagnostics (IBD), Winnipeg and IBD (West), Calgary, Canada. The coils were designed at IBD and installed in Winnipeg (multi-nuclear multi-ring), Calgary (head RF coil for intraoperative MRI), Sydney Australia (breast coil). The rf coils based on these design have been used since by other labs across the world.

The submitted thesis includes an Introduction, eight chapters, references and copies of five selected papers. Chapter 1 deals with signal-to-noise (SNR) issues in MR Imaging and Spectroscopy. Chapter 2 introduces inductive coupling in the RF coils design, that is base for the innovative RF coil design. Chapter 3 considers the importance of the B_1 homogeneity and SNR. Chapter 4 introduces the concept of multi-ring coils and their theoretical analysis. This chapter explains also the theory of inductive tuning of
mutually coupled elements of the RF coil. Chapter 5 shows the experimental results of the performance of the multi-ring coils. Chapter 6 is an extension of the design of the inductively coupled multi-ring to the multi-frequency multi-ring RF coil. It describes the theory construction of multi-frequency multi-ring coil, and an example of its application to liver $^{31}\text{P}$ MRS and MRI at the very high field (7T). Chapter 7 describes the breast RF coil and chapter 8 – an RF probe used for MRI with a movable magnet during the brain surgery.
1. **SIGNAL TO NOISE RATIO AND B1 HOMOGENEITY IN MR IMAGING AND SPECTROSCOPY.**

The most important parameter in Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) affecting all studies is image quality, thus the resolution that depends on signal to noise ratio (SNR). Therefore both MRI and Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (MRS), require maximal SNR as well as homogeneous RF field \( (B_1) \) to obtain optimized images and spectra. While MRI allows the application of post-processing methods, such as correction of image intensity to correct \( B_1 \) inhomogeneities, MRS is more demanding from this point of view leaving very little opportunity for post-processing and allowing mostly hardware improvement. In addition, due to the smaller volume of interest (VOI) and metabolite concentration, there is less signal available in MRS than in MRI thus time required to obtain good spectra is long, pushing the SNR requirements to the limits.

Unfortunately when considering RF probe construction, the requirements of high SNR and good \( B_1 \) homogeneity usually conflict. The SNR depends on many factors such as the strength of the magnetic field, geometry, resistance and quality factor (Q) of the coil, sample size, filling factor of the coil, type of reception (linear or circular), etc. The \( B_1 \) field distribution depends on the geometry of the coil, its type (transmit and receive, or transmit/receive) and the material used for the coil construction.

Therefore, a trade-off among all these factors is inevitable and there is no simple, consistent recipe for making a perfect RF coil, that fulfills all the requirements for the probe for MRS and MRI. This thesis presents a new type of RF coils that virtually meets all the requirements.
The first expression describing the NMR signal was derived by Abragam (1), who showed that the electromagnetic force (emf) induced by the nuclear magnetization in the receiving coil can be expressed as:

$$\psi_{\text{rms}} \sim K \eta M_0 (\mu_0 Q \omega_0 V_c / 4 F k T_c \Delta f)^{1/2} \quad [1.1]$$

where $K$ is numerical factor that depends on the coil geometry; $\eta$ is the filling factor i.e. a measure of the fraction of the RF field volume occupied by the sample; $M_0$ is the magnetization (proportional to the magnetic field strength); $\mu_0$ is the permeability of free space; $Q$ is the quality factor of the coil; $\omega_0$ is the Larmor frequency; $V_c$ is volume of the coil; $F$ is the noise of the preamplifier; $k$ is Boltzman constant; $T_c$ is the probe temperature; $\Delta f$ is the bandwidth (in Hertz) of the receiver.

The above equation explains the historical tendency to introduce stronger and stronger magnets in an effort to increase SNR. Therefore, magnets generating a magnetic field of 20T were produced for ex-vivo MRS, while whole body MRI systems have reached 7T, and even higher field systems are under construction.

As one can notice from [eq. 1.1] (keep in mind that $M_0 \sim \omega_0$), the SNR is proportional to the 3/2 power of the magnetic field. This is true under the assumption that other factors are independent of frequency. However, a closer analysis of the dependence of noise mechanism with frequency and other factors such as RF coil shape, preamplifier noise, and other electronic components whose performance depends on, and usually degrades with the frequency, reveals a less favourable SNR relationship. The rule of thumb is a linear relationship between the SNR and the magnetic field strength for the range of frequencies used in MRI (2,3).
2. INDUCTIVE COUPLING IN THE RF COIL TUNING AND MATCHING

Probe impedance matching (4) by inductive coupling has been known in electronics theory (5). However, mutually inductive coupling, wherein the probe coil is the primary and a separate matching loop is the secondary, was probably first reported in NMR in 1983 in the articles on quadrature laboratory frame detection by Hoult et al. (6,7). The obvious attribute of any mutually inductive coupling is the absence of a physical connection between conductors. The work described in the thesis expands this technique and shows how mutual coupling could be used not only for tuning and matching but for the construction of innovative RF coils based on inductively coupled elements.

The simple system, shown in Fig. 2.1, where a matching coil of inductance \( L_1 \) is coupled by variable mutual inductance \( M_{12} \) to a tuned coil of inductance \( L_2 \) and capacitance \( C_2 \). If reactance \( X_2 = \omega L_2 - 1/\omega C_2 \), the input impedance \( Z_{in} \) is given by (1)

\[
Z_{in} = j \omega L_1 + \frac{\omega^2 M_{12}^2}{r_2 + jX_2} \tag{2.1}
\]

When the probe is tuned \( X_2 = \omega L_2 - \frac{1}{\omega C_2} = 0 \), where \( \omega \) is angular frequency, \((L_2C_2)^{-1/2}\) is the probe frequency \( \omega_c \), \( r_2 \) is the small \((<<\omega_c L_2)\) resistance of the loop and its tuning capacitor. A typical value for \( Z_{in} \) when the probe is tuned and matched is 50 ohms.
FIG. 2.1. Input impedance versus frequency of a coil coupled by mutual inductance. The matching coil is tuned to the Larmor.

At the Larmor frequency \((\omega_c = \omega_0)\) when the matching coil is tuned, reactance \(X_1 = \omega L_1 - 1/ \omega C_1 = 0\). The input impedance is then resistive at probe resonance and, from Eq. [2.1], setting \(\omega_c = \omega_0\), is given by

\[
Z_{\text{in resonance}} = \frac{\omega_0^2 M_{12}^2}{r_2} = \frac{Q \omega_0 M_{12}^2}{L_2} = Q k^2 \omega_0 L_1 \quad \text{[2.2]}
\]

where \(Q (Q = \omega L / R)\) is the quality factor, \(k \left( k^2 = \frac{M_{12}^2}{L_1 L_2} \right)\) is the coupling constant between two loops, as shown in Fig. 2.2.
The practical design of an inductively coupled surface RF coil is shown in Fig. 2.2. Both the matching ring and the tuning ring (active one) are tuned to the same frequency. The distributed, high-$Q$ capacitors greatly reduce \textit{conservative} electric fields and therefore dielectric losses in the sample. The large ring cross section helps reduce the loop inductance and therefore the strength of the electric fields while at the same time minimizing copper losses. However, as capacitance to the sample increases with a large conductor, it may be advantageous to use foil shields.

The detail analysis of the received signal and $B_1$ asymmetry when inductive matching is used in the presence of sample losses is described in Hoult and Tomanek (8).
3. **B₁ HOMOGENEITY VS SNR IN RF COIL DESIGN**

As mentioned above, B₁ homogeneity, beside SNR, is the most important parameter in MRI. Homogeneous B₁ field can be easily achieved by an application of cylindrical, such as solenoid or bird-cage, coils. However, smaller coils, so called surface coils, due to their low sensitivity and high filing factor provide much better SNR and therefore are widely used in MRI and MRS. Unfortunately, they produce inhomogeneous B₁ field. Their sensitivity decreases with increasing distance between the coil and the object of interest due to significant B₁ inhomogeneity along coil axis. Therefore images show decreasing signal intensity. If the B₁ field varies over the sample there is uncertainty of metabolites and water distribution in the MR image. Thus good B₁ homogeneity is essential. Good localized imaging is particularly important for deep internal structures such as liver, kidney, heart or some brain structures. The measurements of relaxation times in MRS also require good B₁ homogeneity or application of complex pulse sequences, like DRESS, STEAM, ISIS. (9, 10).

Various RF surface coils have been designed to optimize SNR and B₁ homogeneity from deep organ of interest in MRI. Some authors used anatomically shaped surface coils. (11) to fit the anatomical structure under examination. However SNR for such coils is lower than for traditional surface coils. In addition image intensity varies depending on the coil shape and deep of organs being under examination.

There are two principal approaches which are used to compensate for surface coil B₁ inhomogeneity when phantom image is involved: correction matrix and digital filtering. The correction matrix method (12, 13) is based on calculation of the correction coefficients from phantom image. The correction coefficients are determined by dividing
the surface coil image into the matrix. The coefficients are then applied as a correction matrix for each image. The disadvantage of this method is that correction coefficients can be calculated only for a specific position and type of coil.

An analytic correction method was also applied to correct the signal intensity nonuniformity caused by the inhomogenous reception profile of surface coil for MRI (14, 15). In this case the Biot-Savart law was used to improve image homogeneity. Although this method does not require any phantom experiments, the disadvantage of this method is that the theoretical model does not take into account imperfections that are present under experimental conditions. Two sets of images orthogonal to the plane of the coil are also required. Data post processing is necessary as well.

The application of separate transmitter and receiver coils is also widely used to improve $B_1$ homogeneity. The transmitter coil is made larger then the receiver and placed orthogonally to minimize magnetical coupling. However this method requires large transmitter power. Interaction between coils also occurs and $B_1$ is still relatively inhomogenous. The use of a pair of flat transmitter coils and a single flat receiver coil as a probe was also proposed (16) to minimize interaction between transmitter and receiver. Two concentric surface coils the larger working as a transmitter and the smaller as a receiver were also applied in MR spectroscopy to improve $B_1$ homogeneity (17 - 20). Interaction between coils was controlled by using cross diodes and a transmitter line. Such designing gives better homogeneity than single surface coil. However, procedure for circuit adjustment is rather complicated. To reduce the current induced in the transmitter coil during the receive period the transmitter coil should be at least three times larger then the receiver or cross diodes in series should be added.
Another method of $B_1$ correction is to perform calibration experiment of a volume of interest in a large phantom (21, 22).

The thesis presents an innovative solution to generate identical fields for both excitation and receiving periods: the coil combines surface coil sensitivity with volume coil homogeneity. This idea uses two or more inductively coupled rings of different diameter, carrying current in opposite directions [Fig 3.1]. Such an assembly produces homogenous, identical excitation and receiving $B_1$ in the desired region of interest while providing SNR comparable with the surface coil. The coil could be used for every application.

FIG. 3.1. Inductively coupled rings: Capacitors are omitted for clarity (A), Electrical schematic (B)
In this thesis the theory, application and construction of the multi-ring surface coil with very good homogeneity in specific volume of interest is described.
4. MULTI-RING COIL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

The main aim of the multi-ring surface coil design is to achieve better $B_1$ homogeneity within the VOI, compared to standard surface coils, for improved localization, and with a minimum penalty in SNR. Design begins with optimization of the $B_1$ homogeneity and SNR over a specified volume. This can be accomplished with a variety of multi-ring surface coil parameters: number of rings ($N$), diameter ($D_p$), position ($y_p$) and current ($I_p$), using Bio-Savart Law Eq. [4.1]. The iteration process is then used until the optimum coil is found.

Rings are constructed from copper tubing and segmented so that the capacitance is distributed evenly to reduce conservative electric field losses within the sample. Remaining dielectric losses can be minimized with copper foil shields placed between the ring and the sample, underneath the capacitors. Non-magnetic, high-$Q$ trimmer capacitors (Johanson, USA) are usually used for tuning the rings.

4.1 $B_1$ Distribution

In a static approximation, the $B_1(r)$ field (RF field) produced by a surface coil at any point $r$ in space, can be calculated from the Biot-Savart law. In the case of $N$ separate rings, each carrying current $I_p$, the total field is simply a superposition of the fields from all the rings:

$$B_1(r) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \frac{I_p \vec{d}l_p \times (r - r_p)}{|r - r_p|^3}$$  \hspace{1cm} [4.1]

where $\mu_0$ is the magnetic permeability of free space, $\vec{d}l_p$ is the elemental current vector of magnitude $I_p$, $r_p$ is the position vector of the element with respect to the origin,
and the line integral is along the conductor \( I_p \). If the coil is placed in the x-z plane, the axial \( B_1 \) field strength along the y-axis is given by:

\[
B_{1y} = \frac{\mu_0}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{N} I_p \frac{a_p^2}{a_p^2 + (y - y_p)^2}^{3/2} \tag{4.2}
\]

where \( a_p \) is the ring radius and \( y_p \) is the position of the \( p \)th ring.

### 4.2 Tuning Theory

The multi-ring surface coil consists of \( N \) co-axial circular rings of different diameters \( (D_p) \), currents \( (I_p) \) and axial-positions \( (y_p) \). The geometry is depicted in Fig. 3.1 (A), where an example of a two-ring coil is shown. The electrical schematic is also shown in Fig 3.1 (B). Using the theory described below, counter-rotating ring currents are possible, allowing the \( B_1 \) homogeneity to be optimized over a desired VOI.

The mutual inductance, \( M_{pq} \), between two rings \( l_p \) and \( l_q \) is given by (23, 24)

\[
M_{pq} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int_{l_p} \int_{l_q} \frac{dl_p \cdot dl_q}{|r_p - r_q|}, \tag{4.3}
\]

where \( r_p \) and \( r_q \) are the position vectors of the elements \( dl_p \) and \( dl_q \) respectively. This mutual inductance can be exploited to provide the couplings necessary to distribute power appropriately from the transmitter, producing the required ring currents. For a high-\( Q \) coil, the voltage induced in any one ring is due to currents in the other \( N-1 \) rings (25). With this assumption, the voltage induced in the \( p \)th ring is given by Lenz’s law as

\[
V_p = -j \omega_o \sum_{q=1,q\neq p}^{q=N} M_{pq} I_q, \quad \text{where } I_q \text{ is the current in the } q \text{th ring. Therefore, the reactance of the } p \text{th ring is given by}
\]

\[
X_p = \frac{V_p}{I_p} = -j \omega_o \sum_{q=1,q\neq p}^{q=N} M_{pq} \frac{I_q}{I_p}, \tag{4.4}
\]
where \( \frac{I_q}{I_p} \) is the current ratio for the \( q^{th} \) and \( p^{th} \) rings, which is pre-determined by the required B\(_1\) field. A resonant circuit tuned to the Larmor frequency has by definition, zero reactance. Therefore, to obtain the required ring-reactance \( (X_p) \) at the Larmor frequency \( (\omega_0) \), it is necessary to tune each ring to a certain frequency \( \omega_p \) different from \( \omega_0 \).

The reactance of any ring that possesses both an inductance \( L_p \) and a capacitance \( C_p \) is given by

\[
X_p = X_{L_p} + X_{C_p} = j\omega_0 L_p + \frac{1}{j\omega_0 C_p} . \tag{4.5}
\]

The ring inductance can be approximated as \( L_p \approx \mu_0 a_p \{ \ln(8 \frac{a_p}{b_p}) - 2 \} \) \( (26) \), where \( a_p \) is the radius of the \( p^{th} \) ring and \( b_p \) is the radius of its cylindrical conductor.

The tuning capacitance required for each ring can be determined by equating the reactances in Eq. \( [4.4] \) and Eq. \( [4.5] \), such that, \( \frac{1}{j\omega_0 C_p} = X_p - X_{L_p} \). The resonant frequency of each ring is then given by

\[
\omega_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_p C_p}} . \tag{4.6}
\]

After tuning each of the \( N \)-rings to the appropriate frequency \( \omega_p \), they are brought together to form the multi-ring surface coil. The \( N \)-rings couple to create \( N \)-resonant modes each at a different frequency. In the multi-ring surface coil designs for MRI, it is the highest frequency mode that has the desired Larmor frequency \( (\omega_0) \) and B\(_1\) response.
A series of experiments were carried out to test the performance of the multi-ring RF coil designed for the 3T system (127.77 MHz). For a comparison to the multi-ring surface coil, a 5.0 cm diameter standard surface coil (matching ring 3.2 cm in diameter) was chosen. For comparisons to a volume coil, a circularly-polarized (CP), 16 element, high-pass birdcage head coil (30 cm long, 26 cm diameter) (Morris Instruments Inc., Canada) was used.

For all simulations, the STEAM sequence was applied to select a 1 cm$^3$ voxel at a depth of 2.0 cm below the surface of a phantom. A 0.5 cm gap was assumed between the coil and the phantom surface so that the distance from the center of a circular surface coil to the voxel is $d = 2.5$ cm.

Experimental SNR measurements were obtained from a small hollow $1.4 \times 1.4 \times 1.4$ cm$^3$ cube filled with 100% mineral oil and fixed at a depth of 2.0 cm from the surface of the same 4.5L phantom filled only with 0.3% NaCl. A 1.0 cm$^3$ voxel was chosen within the small cube (to reduce the possibility of outer voxel contamination) and unsuppressed spectra were taken. For SNR measurement of the head coil, the voxel was chosen at the center of the phantom. SNR was evaluated from the height of the mineral oil peak. The amount of contamination due to signal originating from outside the VOI was found from the amount of water signal present in these mineral oil spectra.
Optimized through simulations, two multi-ring surface coils were designed. The first, was a two-ring coil (Table 1) designed for homogenous $B_1$ over the VOI described above. The two co-planar and co-axial rings consisted of a “main” ring 5 cm in diameter with a conductor radius of 2.2 mm and a smaller “$B_1$-shaping” ring 2.5 cm in diameter with a conductor radius of 1.6 mm with opposite current 1.13 times that of the main ring current. In an attempt to improve SNR, a second coil was designed consisting of three-rings, the first two identical to the two-ring surface coil and a third ring designed to reduce the total power deposited in the sample. The third ring was 15 cm in diameter with a conductor radius of 3.2 mm and opposite current of 0.09 relative to the “main” ring. The same 3.84 cm diameter matching ring was used for both multi-ring surface coils.

### 5.1 Signal-to-Noise Ratio

SNR relative to a standard 5cm single-ring surface coil were measured and are shown in table 5.2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Contamination</th>
<th>WS</th>
<th>SNR</th>
<th>Theoretical</th>
<th>Measured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard Surface Coil</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-Ring Surface Coil</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-Ring Surface Coil</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP Head Coil</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.2. Comparison of SNR between standard RF coil and multi-ring coil.

Initially, intrinsic SNR values (column 4 of Table 5.3) were calculated to find the optimal multi-ring surface coil design. The first design (two-ring surface coil) provided localization characteristics similar to a volume coil and much improved over the standard surface coil. The experimentally determined SNR (column 6 of Table 5.2) for the two-ring surface coil was found to be 75% of that of the single-ring surface coil, a factor of 2.5 improvement in SNR over a circularly polarized head coil. The experimental SNR is in excellent agreement with the predicted SNR (column 5 of Table 5.2).

The three-ring coil provided an improvement of 13% over the two-ring surface coil with the same localization quality.

Although this design has focused on producing a more homogeneous $B_1$ field within a specified VOI, and therefore have tolerated losses in sensitivity, a multi-ring surface coil could also be designed specifically for sample noise reduction and consequently improved SNR. This might be achieved with a two-ring surface coil consisting of a “main” ring and a “noise-reduction” ring, similar to the third ring of our three-ring surface coil. SNR calculations show that up to 10% improvement in SNR over a standard surface coil could be expected.
As with standard surface coils, the SNR advantage of the multi-ring surface coil compared to a volume coil diminishes as the sample volume is made smaller and/or the VOI moved further from the surface, closer to the center of the volume (27, 28).

### 5.2 B1 Homogeneity

The axial 1-D $B_1$ field plots (Fig. 5.1) clearly show the improved $B_1$ homogeneity within the VOI for the two-ring surface coil over a standard 5-cm single loop surface coil. A comparison of the calculated axial $B_1$ field and the $B_1$ measured on the bench under loaded conditions is shown. There is a good agreement between the calculations and the loaded (Fig. 5.1). The reduced $B_1$ magnitude at the voxel location with respect to the standard surface coil is a consequence of the negative current in the “$B_1$-shaping” ring, and causes a loss in sensitivity of the multi-ring surface coil. For a quantitative measure of the $B_1$ homogeneity, the flip angle along the coil axis within the 1.0 cm voxel was calculated from the simulated 1-D field. For the standard surface coil, the maximum and minimum flip angles were $121^\circ$ and $67^\circ$ respectively (or $90^\circ \pm 27^\circ$) whereas for the multi-ring coil the maximum and minimum flip angles were $95^\circ$ and $85^\circ$ respectively (or $90^\circ \pm 5^\circ$).
FIG. 5.1. Calculated and bench measurement of the $B_1$ field magnitude along the surface coil axis for the two-ring surface coil and the traditional surface coil. The size and position of the voxel of interest is shown.

Two-dimensional calculated $B_1$ field distributions in the $y$-$z$ plane are shown in Fig. 5.2 and 5.3. Not only is there a more homogeneous $B_1$ within the voxel of interest (VOI), but also a nulling of field about 1 cm from the sample surface. This effect could result in lowered contamination from signal outside the VOI as well as lowered noise pick-up when compared to standard surface coils.
FIG. 5.2 – Calculated 2-D B$_1$ field distribution (y-z plane) for (a) the traditional surface coil and (b) the two-ring surface coil. (c) Experimental B$_1$ field map for the two-ring surface coil. Images are scaled to show the B$_1$ homogeneity within the VOI indicated.

The excellent localization quality (Fig. 5.3) of the multi-ring coil is demonstrated by images of the selected VOI and by signal profiles through the VOI. Simulations show that the two-ring surface coil produced a symmetric and homogenous response similar to a volume coil whereas the standard surface coil has the characteristic asymmetric axial profile. For comparison, simulated voxel profiles are also shown, where the standard surface coil is used as receive-only, with uniform excitation (volume coil or adiabatic pulses). The asymmetric voxel profile still occurs and again could result in inaccurate quantitation of average metabolite concentration from heterogeneous tissue.
FIG 5.3 - Simulated voxel profiles for a volume coil (dotted line), the two-ring surface coil (solid line), the standard surface coil (dashed line), and the standard surface coil used as receive-only (uniform excitation) (dash-dot line). The 90° flip for the two-ring surface coil was at \( y \approx 1.7 \text{cm} \) from the sample surface.

Experimental STEAM voxel images in the \( y-z \) plane obtained with both the two-ring and standard surface coils and a CP head coil are shown in Fig. 8 5.4. The two-ring surface coil produced a homogenous VOI image similar to that of the head coil, whereas the standard surface coil has the characteristic asymmetric response. Experimental voxel profiles along the \( y \)-axis, shown in Fig. 5.4, clearly illustrate the similarity of localization with the two-ring surface coil and the head coil. The axial profile again demonstrates the improvement over the standard surface coil. There is very good agreement between the simulated and experimental axial voxel profiles.
FIG. 5.5 Experimental voxel images obtained with STEAM using (a) a circularly polarized head coil, (b) the two-ring surface coil and (c) the standard surface coil. Surface coils are located to the left of the corresponding image. FOV shown is 2 cm.

5.3 Specific Absorption Rate

One of the advantages surface coils have over volume coils is lower RF power requirements. This is particularly important for high-field MRI when large volume coils produce SAR that exceeds allowed by FDA limits. Table 5.3 compares the RF power required for spectroscopy and voxel imaging experiments ($P_i$), for the CP head coil (90° flip at $y = 2.0$ cm), standard surface coil (90° flip at $y = 2.0$ cm) and the multi-ring surface coils (90° flip at $y = 1.7$ cm). The quadrature volume coil required 11.1 dB (or 12.9 times) more power than the standard surface coil while the two-ring coil only required 4.5 dB (or 2.8 times) more. The additional power needed for these multi-ring surface coil designs can be attributed to decreased $\hat{B}_1$ with respect to the standard surface coil.

Calculated local SAR distributions for the standard and two-ring surface coil are shown in Table 5.3, where peaks in local SAR correspond to the conductor positions of the 5-cm rings. Results of the local and total SAR simulations are summarized in Table 5.3. As may have been expected from the additional power requirements, both the total
and peak local SAR values are higher for the two-ring surface coil than for a standard surface coil of similar dimensions. Although the total SAR is increased 2-fold, it is still much lower than typical volume coil total SAR limits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RF power ($P_i$)$^a$</th>
<th>Total SAR$^b$</th>
<th>Peak Local SAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard Surface Coil</td>
<td>0 dB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-Ring Surface Coil</td>
<td>+4.5 dB</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-Ring Surface Coil</td>
<td>+3.9 dB</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP Head Coil</td>
<td>+11.1 dB</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3 - RF power required as well as local and total SAR relative to a standard 5cm single-ring surface coil

$^a$Input RF power used for spectroscopy experiments, referenced to the power delivered to the standard surface coil.

$^b$Total SAR values are found from the input RF power and scaled with the $Q$-values.
6. **MULTI NUCLEAR RF COILS**

The combination of MR imaging with spectroscopy provides a powerful tool for clinical management of problems such as stroke, tumor monitoring and the assessment of other diseases. Especially the integrated acquisition of proton images and localized spectra is essential for the practical application of spectroscopic techniques to human and animal research and it is highly desirably to use a single RF probe in order to minimize operational problems such as changing coils from one experiment to another. Advantages of a such a probe in the form of a double-tuned coil also include more accurate localization of spectra and easier shimming for $^{31}$P spectroscopy since the shimming can be done at the $^1$H frequency with the same coil over the same volume. Absolute quantification methods using proton signal are as well easier to perform when correction for substantially different B$_1$ profile is not required.

Quantification of the tissue metabolites by heteronuclear MRS can be achieved using dual-frequency RF coils. The dual-frequency coils allow simultaneous measurement of two nuclei, without disruptions associated with exchanging coils for imaging and spectroscopy examinations. One of them is usually $^1$H while other one is phosphorus ($^{31}$P) or sodium ($^{23}$Na). The proton frequency is used often for high-resolution imaging that allows lesion localization while other frequency is used for spectroscopic lesion analysis. Proton frequency provides the sensitivity needed for shimming. Dual-frequency coils should deliver SNR of the single frequency RF coil as well as produce homogenous RF field over the sample volume. To address these needs the double-tuned quadrature birdcage coils were developed. An example is a design based on two coaxial birdcage coils resonating at $^{31}$P and $^1$H frequencies. Such a coil
provides excellent \( ^{31}\text{P} \) performance although lower SNR for \( ^{1}\text{H} \) when compared to a single frequency \( ^{1}\text{H} \) coil. This design allows altering the \( ^{31}\text{P} \) coil length thus providing better SNR sacrificing however \( B_1 \) homogeneity \((29)\). Another solution is to use the same size coils and tune every other leg of the coil to the desired frequency and use trap circuits and inductive matching to optimize the coil \((30, 31)\).

Other solution uses surface coil with frequency splitting circuitry \((32)\). This construction allows concurrent \( ^{1}\text{H} \) and \( ^{31}\text{P} \) MRS and provides the same \( B_1 \) homogeneity for both frequencies almost without reducing SNR when compared to the single frequency RF coils. The author of the thesis introduced a new generation of the RF coils for spectroscopy and imaging using the combination of multi-ring coil concept \((32)\) with a multi frequency trap circuit \((\text{Fig. 6.1})\). This coil provides better SNR than a volume coil within the specified VOI, about 70\% of the SNR of the single frequency surface coil, but it creates homogenous and identical \( B_1 \) fields for both frequencies over the desired VOI \((\text{Fig.6.2})\). The coil was patented by the author \((34)\).
FIG. 6.1. Inductively coupled dual frequency RF coil.

FIG. 6.2. Snapshot-flash proton image of a rat liver obtained with the dual surface coil of Fig. 6.1.

The probe provides excellent proton images and $^{31}$P spectra from an image selected voxel in rat liver at 7T (Fig 6.3). The probe uses a double ring construction where each ring is tuned to a parallel LC trap to two or more different frequencies (Fig
6.1) A calculation of the values of the inductance and capacitance provided by the author allows the double coil arrangement to be tuned to the required frequencies with a peak of the homogeneity at each frequency occurring at the same distance from the coil and with good Q. The probe is powered by a driver coil adjacent to the probe by mutual inductance.

FIG. 6.3. Phosphorus spectrum from a voxel of the same liver.
The coil was designed for imaging on the $^1$H nucleus while spectroscopy was performed with the $^{31}$P nucleus. However other frequencies can be selected for analysis of different nuclei.

The described coil was used for MRI and MRS on a rat liver, that is 10 to 15 mm deep inside the rat abdomen. To achieve a homogeneous $B_1$ field of proper frequency at a given VOI resonant frequencies and currents in individual rings were calculated using the Biot-Savart law. It was found that the following parameters provide the best $B_1$ homogeneity within VOI: diameters of small and large coils are 15 and 40 mm respectively with current ratio –1.4:1 for both $^{31}$P and $^1$H, and distance between coils of 4mm. To make the assembly resonate at 121.5 MHz and 300 MHz, the resonance
frequencies of the small ring were calculated, using the calculations described in Chapter 4, to be 113.7 MHz and 291.3 MHz. The large ring then has to resonate at 118 MHz and 296.3 MHz. Coupling between the rings and the double-resonant matching loop is achieved by a mutual inductance. Since the assembly in one example is constructed for a narrow bore magnet, a rectangular (40 mm x 50 mm) loop sliding along the bore is used for convenient matching.

Plots of the axial $B_1$ fields produced by a loaded dual-coil assembly at two frequencies of interest is shown in Fig. 6.4. The field strength is measured and presented in arbitrary units. It can be seen that the regions of $B_1$ homogeneity for both frequencies overlap and correlate with the theoretical calculations, used to optimize VOI.

Briefly, to obtain the desired current ratio, the inductance and reactance of both rings must be calculated to find the appropriate resonance frequencies of each ring. The mutual inductance between the rings, calculated from the coil geometry (ring radii and distance between them), then causes the two ring set to resonate exactly at the Larmor frequency. To make the coil resonate at two frequencies a splitting network reactance can be included.

Based on the Lenz’s law, the reactance of the first and second ring is

$$x_1 = \frac{v_1}{I_1} = -j\omega_0 M_{12} \frac{I_2}{I_1} \quad [6.1]$$

$$x_2 = \frac{v_1}{I_2} = -j\omega_0 M_{12} \frac{I_1}{I_2} . \quad [6.2]$$

On the other hand the reactance of a ring with inductance $L_p$, capacitance $C_p$ and frequency splitting network with a choke $L_{spl}$ and capacitance $C_{spl}$ is given by
The resonance frequency of each ring is then found by solving the equation

\[ x_{Lp} + x_{Cp} + x_{spl} = 0. \]  \[ \text{[6.4]} \]

After tuning each ring to the appropriate frequency the rings are brought together to form the multi-ring surface coil. The two rings couple to create four resonant modes, with the second and the fourth modes producing the desired B_1 profiles at the Larmor frequencies \( \omega_0 \) (e.g. 121.5 MHz and 300 MHz for \( ^{31}\text{P} \) and \( ^{1}\text{H} \) at 7T).

The experiment showed that the SNR for \( ^{1}\text{H} \) (300 MHz) of the doubly tunable double ring surface coil was identical to that of the double ring single frequency coil. At the \( ^{31}\text{P} \) resonant frequency (121.5 MHz) the SNR for the doubly tunable coil was about 72% of that of the single tuned coil.

The doubly tunable double ring surface coil was tested in MRI and MRS studies of rat liver in vivo at 7 T 21-cm horizontal bore magnet. FLASH proton image was acquired in the axial plane with TR/TE=3.7/2.2 ms, 2 mm slice thickness, 8x8 cm\(^2\) field of view (FOV) and a matrix size of 128x128. A phosphorus spectrum was selected from a 2-s CSI experiment acquired with FOV 8.85 cm (horizontal) x 8.0 cm (vertical), TR=1 s, matrix size 8x8 zero-filled to 16x16, acquisition size 1k zero-filled to 4k, sweep width 4000 Hz, and processed with exponential line-broadening of 12 Hz and manual phase correction. Localized shimming on the liver was performed using a proton VOSY sequence with a 15x15x25 mm\(^3\) (lateral, vertical and axial dimensions respectively) voxel with TE=20 ms, TM=30 ms. As can be seen from the spectrum, virtually no PCr contamination from abdominal muscle is observed.
Breast cancer is one of the most common malignancies in females. To reduce mortality, early detection and treatment are needed. MRI has proven to be valuable in the detection of breast tumors (35, 36) since it can provide 3D images of soft tissue not only of the breast but also of the axillary tail (37, 38). However, the highest resolution is needed for the detection of small tumors (39), and the RF probe design is a major factor in determining image quality and field of view (FOV). Initially, whole-body RF coils were used to image the human breast (40). However, smaller surface coils, which provide better signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio, were soon applied (41, 42) and various designs may be found in the literature: cylindrical coils (43), single (44) or two-turn solenoidal coils (45), multiturn, shaped coils (46), and coils with two chambers for imaging both breasts simultaneously (47). As in radiographic mammography, breast compression (48, 49) is often used, though mostly to reduce breast motion.

The optimal breast coil design should yield excellent S/N ratio and good $B_1$ field homogeneity, yet allow imaging of both the breast and the chest wall as well as the axillary region, for tumors may occur in all these areas. Unfortunately, these requirements tend to be conflicting. To deal with these issues the author proposed a new design based on inductively coupled Helmholtz-Peir probe. In addition shielding of the chest wall away from the breast, to reduce RF losses in the chest, was used and a substantial improvement in performance obtained.

To provide the highest S/N, and hence high spatial resolution, the first probe to image a single breast in the center of the 3T magnet. It comprises three separate, individually tuned, unconnected rings of conductor: a main Helmholtz pair, of radius and
nominal separation 6.8 cm, and a smaller coupling ring of radius 5.5 cm, arranged as shown in Fig. 7.1. The three coils are coupled by mutual inductance and the coupling ring is attached to a 50 V cable with a sleeve-type balun. Annular aluminum foil shields 20 mm thick were placed symmetrically above and below the probe, as shown in Fig. 7.1, on the surface and within the wooden patient bed.

FIG. 7.1 - Breast coil components and shields. Two identical annular aluminum foil shields 15.5 cm ID and 45.7 cm OD are placed symmetrically above and below the probe.

FIG. 7.2 – The external view of the breast coil used for 1.5T GE system. One breast version of the coil, to keep the breast within the maximum field homogeneity, is presented.
To test the coil performance, single accumulation images at field strength 3 T were made with the gradient echo (GE), inversion-recovery GE (Fig. 7.2) and multislice spin-echo (SE) (Fig. 7.3) techniques with various slice thicknesses, 256 x 256 matrix size and 14 x 14 cm FOV. A sagittal image with slice thickness 2 mm, matrix size 512 x 512, one accumulation and 14 x 14 cm FOV (Fig. 7.3), was also obtained. The resolution in this instance was 2 mm x 0.27 mm x 0.27 mm.

The images display excellent S/N in accord with the probe’s superior Q-factor due to the judicious shielding. The measured image uniformity was consistent with the bench measurement of the $B_1$ field (Fig. 7.4). In particular, a region up to 1.5 cm above the top shield was visible on most MR images for both SE and GE sequences. The chest wall is more visible in the GE than in the SE images due to the smaller sensitivity of the GE method to RF inhomogeneity. The SE sequence, however, could, if desired, be optimized for viewing the chest wall by the application of higher RF power. No image artifacts due to gradient-induced eddy currents in the foil shields were seen. This coil, when designed provided the best performance in comparison to any other RF coil.
FIG. 7.2. A sagittal IR gradient echo breast image (FA = 20°, TI = 900 ms, TR = 45.4 ms, TE = 7.4 ms, slice thickness 4 mm, matrix size 256 x 256, FOV = 14 x 14 cm).

FIG. 7.3. Sagittal spin-echo breast image (TR = 1200 ms, TE = 25 ms, slice thickness 2 mm, matrix size 512 x 512, FOV = 14 x 14 cm).
FIG. 7.4. Sagittal breast image with the resolution 500 µm × 320 µm × 320 µm.

The results demonstrate that it is possible, with the aid of RF shields, and using inductive coupling to view the chest wall adjacent to the breast while minimizing losses due to conductive tissue. Hence, breast images with good S/N ratio (~30), a slice thickness less than 2 mm, and in-plane resolution better than 0.3 x 0.3 mm can be readily obtained. Such resolution potentially allows for the detection of very small breast lesions, the majority of which have not yet metastasized, thereby making MRI a candidate for 3D breast screening (39).

A tradeoff is available, between improved S/N ratio at the chest wall and lower S/N over the rest of the breast, by manipulation of the shield aperture. The coil for both breasts was also designed and presented in Fig. 7.5.
FIG. 7.5. 1.5T breast rf coil (with the external cover).
8. HEAD RF COIL FOR INTRAPERATIVE MRI

MR technology has recently been introduced into neurosurgery bringing many advantages, such as accurate surgery planning, increased precision of the craniotomy and tumor resection, and decreased potential for complications such as hemorrhage or ischemia (50, 51).

In general there are four methods of intraoperative MRI: 1) surgery in the magnetic field, using open bore configuration MR scanners with a “double doughnut” design (51, 52), which allows access to the patient at the isocentre of the imaging system; 2) MRI that requires transportation of the patient (53, 54) to the imaging system; 3) MRI with a movable 1.5T magnet (55); 4) low-field MRI, typically from 0.064T to 0.3T using a permanent or resistive magnet (6, 8). Each of these concepts has its advantages and disadvantages, but each requires the maximum image resolution and minimal, if any, interference of the MRI system with a patient and operating field. Therefore an important element of the intraoperative MRI setup is the design of the operating table, radio-frequency (RF) probe and skull clamp, where both the operating table and the skull clamp must fulfill all standard requirements for operating room tools.

The probe and skull clamp design should also allow complete and unobstructed access for the surgical team to the patient’s head without compromising sterility of the operating area. At the same time the RF probe must generate images of equal or better quality than standard RF probes. The design of the intraoperative RF probe should also permit the MRI system to be used as a standard radiological instrument without any modifications. The skull clamp should be suitable for a variety of performed surgical procedures and should also accommodate both adult and pediatric patients. It has to be
made of MRI-compatible materials and allow proper positioning of the RF probe for the imaging session. Both the RF probe and the skull clamp must form an integrated assembly suitable for patient imaging as well as any type of neurosurgical procedure. The entire assembly must also fit into the magnet bore.

The best performance is delivered by a volume, cylindrical coil [Fig. 8.1] but this coils is not suitable for intraoperative MRI. The intraoperative MRI systems reported to-date have used flexible transmit-receive surface coils (56) and different techniques of head immobilization. Such coils produce inhomogeneous images due to the decrease of the RF field with increasing distance between the coil and the region of interest; thus they are not suitable for high quality imaging of the entire brain. The MRI compatible Mayfield head frame has been used (57) in combination with the RF surface coils. The surgery was performed through the “holes” in the coils. A carbon-fiber frame and titanium stereotactic frame customized for the operating table also has been proposed (55). Freehand MR image guided procedure to monitor intracranial biopsy has been reported (58). Unfortunately none of the proposed designs meets all the requirements of the operating room environment.

Considering the limitations of the existing RF probes and skull clamps, an integrated RF head probe and skull clamp for the intraoperative MRI with a movable 1.5T magnet (55) were designed and manufactured.
FIG. 8.1 - Example of a standard RF quadrature birdcage for a human head (such a coil cannot accommodate skull clamp)

**RF Probe**

The inductively coupled rings were used as a base for the design of the coil for Intraoperative MRI. Computer simulations based on the Biot-Savart law showed, that the optimum $B_1$ field homogeneity is obtained with two rings in the same plane. However, such an arrangement did not provide enough space to accommodate the skull clamp. Therefore a computer program that included the skull clamp and table geometry was written to find a probe configuration that gave a good compromise between $B_1$ field homogeneity and access to the sensitive volume. This optimal design comprises four coaxial rings: one at 13 cm and a second at 17 cm above the center of the supine patient’s head, with radii 17cm and 15 cm respectively, and two identical rings placed at the corresponding symmetrical positions below the head. Thus the head and a specially designed skull clamp can be accommodated. To ensure high quality (Q) value of the coil
and thus sensitivity, each ring is 23.3 mm in cross section. Currents in the outer and inner
rings flow in the opposite direction with a current ratio -0.7. This probe produces a $B_1$
field with a homogeneity better than 95% within a 20 cm diameter spherical volume, but
with the rapid fall-off outside that volume [Fig.8.2], providing self-shielding effect, and
thus a high signal-to-noise (SNR) ratio. The major advantage of the design is that it may
be separated into two parts: the lower two rings and the matching ring may be
incorporated into an operating table, while the two upper rings, may be built into an
easily sterilizable cover, which can be removed during neurosurgery [Fig.8.3]. Due to the
inductive coupling there is no need for any electrical connection between the upper and
lower parts. Sterility of the operating area during imaging sessions is ensured by sterile
drapes placed over the operating field as well as the patient and securing the top part of
the RF coil over the patient’s head using posts supported by pins [Fig.8.3, 8.4, 8.5, and
8.6].
FIG. 8.2. Geometry of the integrated four-ring RF probe and skull clamp. $A_1$ and $A_2$: smaller, outer rings of 30 cm diameter. $B_1$ and $B_2$: larger, inner rings of 34 cm diameter. $C$: matching ring of 21 cm diameter. $D$: lower rings enclosure integrated within the operating table. The hydraulic system of matching and tuning is not shown. $E$: Asymmetric skull clamp with the ball joint. $F$. $G$: RF shield. $H$: pins to position supporting posts of the upper enclosure. Radial and axial $B_1$ field profiles are also shown.
FIG. 8.3 Patient’s head immobilized with the skull clamp inside the RF coil. Ready for imaging.

FIG. 8.4 Bottom part of the coil (imbedded in the table) and the skull clamp.
FIG. 8.5. The view of the Operating Room during the neurosurgery. Bottom part of the coil is hidden inside the patient table. The top part of the coil is away.

FIG. 8.6. Magnet moving over the patient during a neurosurgery
FIG. 8.7. Top row: diagnostic MR images obtained with a standard 1.5T scanner. Bottom row: surgical planning MR images obtained with the 4-ring integrated RF probe and skull clamp. Spin Echo imaging was used in both cases: TR=500ms, TE=13ms, matrix=256x256, slice thickness=5mm.

The method of coupled equations was used to determine reactances and resonant frequencies of the individual rings to ensure proper current ratio (25). Fine tuning in the range of ±250 kHz is accomplished by tilting the bottom large ring by ±2.5 mm, while the inductive matching adjustment is made by moving the fifth ring vertically. The matching ring is 21 cm in diameter with a 1.0 cm cross-section, tuned to exactly 63.78 MHz, corresponding to 1.5 T, and it is the only ring with electrical connections to transmit/receive tract. The remote tuning and matching adjustments are made with the aid of a non-magnetic hydraulic system.
A new technology for making the rings was also developed. Rings used for the coil were first cast with resin, covered with conductive copper paint, and then electroplated. A 1.0 cm gap in the copper layer was left for capacitors placed 90° apart on each ring.

An example of MR images obtained with the coil in the axial and transverse directions is shown in Figure 8.7(A). Figure 8.7(B) shows the MRI of the same subject obtained with a standard 1.5T system. As seen in Fig. 8.7 the image quality is comparable with both standard and 4-ring RF coil.

**Skull Clamp**

The three-point head fixation device has been widely used in neurosurgery. However, its standard version cannot be used for intraoperative MRI due to its incompatibility with MRI. Intraoperative MRI with a mobile 1.5T magnet sets additional specific requirements for the support equipment including a skull clamp that must fit to the head RF coil as well as to the magnet bore. The clamp cannot produce any MR artifacts due to its magnetic susceptibility or eddy currents created within, it cannot interfere electrically with the RF probe through mutual inductance or absorb RF energy which would cause tissue heating and image degradation. However it should give rigid skeletal fixation within the RF enclosure envelope while providing the surgeon with full freedom in positioning the fixation pins and the head. Therefore a new, dedicated skull clamp had to be designed and manufactured.

The specially designed skull clamp [Fig. 8.8] comprises clamping arm assembly with the ball joint, both made of Delrin AF®, skull clamp frame (Tekamid™), rocker arm (Delrin AF®), and Mayfield® titanium skull pins to minimize eddy currents and susceptibility artifacts, yet ensuring the required holding strength. The frame was
asymmetrically shaped to fit to the probe enclosure as well as to accommodate the patient’s head. The bottom ball joint allows for movement of the clamp in any direction. The arm can also be rotated independently to avoid critical areas of the skull while positioning the fixation pins. Infrared and MR reference markers can be attached to the clamp, allowing the infrared neuro-navigation system to be used.


The described integrated RF probe and skull clamp has been successfully designed, constructed and used in the neurosurgical operating theatre as part of a 1.5T intraoperative system with movable magnet. The set-up employing our design can
provide all routinely acquired MR images of the 1.5T clinical MR system. Pre-, intra-, and post-surgical MR images have been obtained without compromising conventional surgical techniques. All positions could be accommodated with the coil. No MRI artifacts were observed in MR images. The assembly however is not restricted to the movable 1.5T system only. It could, with minor modifications, also be used with the other intraoperative systems described above.

Considering unobstructed access to the operating field, accommodation of any position provided by the 4-ring coil, the design of an integrated probe and skull clamp is a valuable component of the intraoperative MRI system. The RF probe and skull clamp is yet another step in the advancement of the intraoperative MRI towards the full integration of MRI technology with neurosurgery.
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